PB# 88-4

Automotive Brake

4-2-15.2

| | General Receipt 10173 | |
|---|-----------------------------------|----|
| TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR 555 Union Avenue New Windsor, N. Y. 12550 | Sept 14 1988 | - |
| Received of Automa | tere Brake Co. \$ 164.00 | - |
| One Hundred, | Depty-four 00 DOLLARS | 3 |
| For \$160.00 Site 4 | Pan - \$64.00 Engineering 200 88- | 4 |
| DISTRIBUTION COD | By Pauline M. Downson | 2) |
| CR# 7769 | \$164.00 A | Š |
| ! | Jown Clerk Title | _ |

.

•,

| TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR - | General Red | ceipt | 9592 |
|---|---------------|--------------|----------------|
| 555 Union Avenue New Windsor, N. Y. 12550 | | 1 general F | <u>M, 1988</u> |
| Received of Customet | uie Brole | \$ 25.0 | 5 0 |
| | polication 7 | | DOLLARS |
| DISTRIBUTION | | | |
| CSecx # 6204 | 4525, 09 By Y | wor. I sulus | manages |
| | | Town Clark | |
| Williamson Lew Book Co., Rochester, N. Y. 14509 | | Title | |

| (Mandatory County Planning Review under Article 12 Section 239, Paragraphs 1, m & n, of the General Municipal Law) Application of Automotive Brake | (2) (전 (전) |
|---|---|
| for a Site Plan - Frontage/Access NYS County Action: Local Determination | 300 |
| LOCAL MUNICIPAL ACTION The Above-cited application was: Denied | ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| (Date of Local Action) (Signature of Local This card must be returned to the Orange County Department within 7 days of local action. | Official) of Planning |



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL, P.E.

Licensed in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania

45 QUASSAICK AVE. (ROUTE 9W) NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12550

TELEPHONE (914) 562-8640 PORT JERVIS (914) 856-5600

MEMORANDUM

TO:

MICHAEL BABCOCK, BUILDING INSPECTOR

FROM:

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E., PLANNING BOARD ENGINEER

SUBJECT:

AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE SITE PLAN (T88-4);

NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

DATE:

30 AUGUST 1989

This memorandum shall confirm that on 29 August 1989 we made a site visit to the subject project to determine the status of the completion of the site improvements associated with the approved site plan (approved 8 June 1988). The following items were noted in our site visit:

- 1. The existing slotting drains at the drive entrance have been removed, evidently as part of the State Highway project.
- 2. The eleven (11) parking spaces along Temple Hill Road have not been constructed, including the two (2) handicapped spaces shown in that area.
- The fifteen (15) parking spaces in the front of the building do not have stripped delineation.
- 4. Two (2) handicapped spaces exist at the southern corner of the front of the building (they were not shown there on the approved plan); however, these two spaces and the existing signs do not meet current standards.
- 5. The parking spaces along the right side (southeast) are not along the guardrail, they are provided along the edge of pavement. In addition, a handicapped space exists on this side with improper sign and delineation.
- 6. No pavement arrows exist to delineate the one-way traffic pattern approved on the plan.

(P)

MEMORANDUM

TO:

MICHAEL BABCOCK, BUILDING INSPECTOR

FROM:

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E., PLANNING BOARD ENGINEER

SUBJECT:

AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE SITE PLAN (T88-4);

NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

DATE:

30 AUGUST 1989

-2-

At this time the site does not comply with the approved plan.

At such time that additional work is done on site to make same comply with the approved site plan, please advise me such that we can make a "follow-up" visit to determine general compliance with the site plan as approved by the Planning Board.

Wark J. Planning Board Engineer

MJEemj

Planning Board

bab



RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E. MARK J. EDSALL. P.E.

- ☐ Main Office 45 Quassaick Ave. (Route 9W) New Windsor, New York 12550 (914) 562-8640
- ☐ Branch Office
 400 Broad Street
 Milford, Pennsylvania 18337
 (717) 296-2765
 (914) 856-5600

MEMORANDUM

TO:

MICHAEL BABCOCK, BUILDING INSPECTOR

FROM: SUBJECT:

MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. PLANNING BOARD ENGINEER

AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE SITE PLAN (T88-4)

NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

DATE:

5 OCTOBER 1989

This memorandum shall confirm that on 3 October 1989 we made a follow-up site visit to the subject project to determine the status of the completion of the site improvements associated with the approved site plan (approved 8 June 1988). Our previous field visit of 29 August 1989 noted several items which were not completed. The following items were noted in our latest site visit:

- 1. The eleven (11) parking spaces along Temple Hill (Freedom) Road have not been delineated, including the two (2) handicapped spaces shown in that area.
- The two (2) handicapped spaces along the front of the building are not located in the location where approved on the plan.
- 3. All handicapped spaces do not appear to have the correct delineation and signage, per ANSI standards.

At this time, the site does not comply with the approved plan. At such time that additional work is performed on site such that compliance with the approved plan results, please advise me such that we can make another visit to determine such compliance.

Respectfully submitted.

Mark J./Edsall, P.E. Planning Board Engineer

MJEsjq

cc: Town Planning Board

bab1



AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE CO.

ASÍA

of Newburgh, Inc.

300 Temple Hill Rd. • New Windsor, NY 12550-6898

Robert E. Lorenzen
President

(914) 561-6391

Jane A. Hayward *Treasurer*

June 28, 1988

Department of Transportation 4 Burnett Blvd. Poughkeepsie, NY 12600 Attention: Al Dixon Regional Director RECEIVED

CONSISTING BIGHES, P.C.

Dear Sir;

We just found out today that the state is planning to put a sidewalk along the east side of Temple Hill Road that starts no where and ends no where. (Like from a school to a residential area).

All we can see is that this will cause an extra maintenance expense for the property owners with no benefit to area residents. These commercial businesses are conducted and all employees go to and from work, by private auto or truck.

We can see this sidewalk becoming a dangerous play area for kids with skate boards and bikes.

This will be a waste of money that could well be spent on other road improvements. It will not benefit any local residents or tax payers.

Yours truly,

Robert E. Lorenzen

Owner - Automotive Brake Co.

cc: A.J. Bauman - Regional Construction Engineer

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD TRACKING SHEET

| PROJECT | | otine Dr | ake | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|-------------|
| PROJECT | | ·· - / | * | | |
| TYPE OF | PROJECT: Subdia Lot G | vision ine Change | Sit Oth | e Plan er (Descr | ibe) |
| TOWN DEP | ARTMENT REVIEWS: | Date <u>App'd</u> | Date Not App'd | Not Req | uired |
| Planning Righway Buf.Fire Sewer Water Flood | Board Engineer Prev. | 5-25-88 5-25-8 | 3-21-XX | | |
| OUTSIDE | DEPT./AGENCY REVI | EWS: | { | , | |
| | DOT DEC O/C PLANNING O/C HEALTH NYSDOH OTHER (SPECIFY) | 5-31-84 | be primite | | |
| SEOR: | Lead Agency Acti Determination | | | | |
| | EAF Short Proxy: Filed | LongS | ubmittedRepresen | Accepte tative | ed |
| PUBLIC FI | Other (* Minor | TE) Subdivision | | | |
| (SUBDIVIS | SIONS) | | | | |
| Sketch Pl Prelimina Prelimina Final Pla | lan Date ary P/H Date ary App'l Date an Date | + 30 day + 45 day + 6 mont + 45 day | ys = Action ys = Action ths = Final ys = Final A | Date Date Resub. Dat pp'l Date | :e |
| TIME SEQUENCE PLANTS PLANTS Meet Meet Meet Meet Meet Meet Meet Mee | | + 6 1 + 90 da | months = Sub ays = Pinal | mittal Dat App'l Date | :e |

(Marie Control of the Control of the

5-18-88

Mr. Greg Shaw came before the Board representing this proposal.

Mr. Shaw: Very simply, Automotive Brake, is on Temple Hill Road. Presently there is a building on the site totally 24,000 square feet. Approximate dimensions 160 by 150 feet. Use in the existing building is office space, retail space and shop and warehouse space. Our proposal before the Board is very simple. We'd like to construct a 30 foot wide addition to the rear of the Automobile Brake. That addition will be 4500 square feet. It's dimensions will be 30 feet by 150 feet wide and used primarily as a shop area. With respect to the zoning ordinance, we are permitted, as we are in a PI zone, our parcel is just a little bit shy of six acres. We meet all the setbacks and there will be no extension of services to this particular building. There is municipal water and sewer available to the building and we will be just expanding the lines inside the building. That is all I have to say. It is a relatively simple project. If you have any questions, please ask.

Mr. Pagano: Is the roof line going to match the present roof line?

Mr. Shaw: It is going to be a couple feet lower.

Mr. Pagano: It is not going to be a slab?

Mr. Shaw: No, one on twelve pitch. Similar roof line, just step down a little bit.

Mr. Scheible: Sit he Fire Bureau is coming down on us lately, how does this stand with the existing site with parking that close to the bulk ing? Now they are looking for a 30 foot clearance. They want be feet surrounding the building.

Mr. Schiefer: These parking spaces exist, the new ones are back here.

Mr. Scheible: We are going to send one to them and get their comments back.

Mr. McCarville: Is this paved in the rear of the building now?

Mr. Shaw: Edge of gravel so that is gravel. See this line,

I am following, that is the edge of the existing gravel.

Mr. McCarville: What is paved?

Mr. Shaw: This is paved to here.

Mr. Edsall: I think the use is a use, too, which is office which combines warehouse space for distribution of products which varies a little. I'd like the Board to discuss what use they'd consider that is the closest. I find under the PI zone, if you meet all the requirements, you would not need a variance. Also, I computed the parking somewhat less than what you have. So, rather than showing --

Mr. Shaw: I will take your numbers.

Mr. Edsall: I want to see if the Board all agrees.

Mr. McCarville: You are going to have to change the name.

Mr. Edsall: I'd like to see if the Board agrees with the use and numbers.

Mr. Rones: He is not changing the use of the building.

Mr. Edsall: You have to compute the parking requirements and the rear yard setbacks.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: Why don't you get together with Mr. Shaw?

Mr. McCarville: Call it distribution.

Mr. Scheible: The only problem I can see is if we send it on to the Fire Bureau and I am curious to see how it comes back. Now they want 30 feet completely on all four sides.

Mr. Babcock: We are estimating from the back of the existing building back is a gravel base for the parking lot and is that going to remain as gravel?

Mr. Shaw: That is our intention at this point, yes.

Mr. Scheible: Gravel or oil and chip.

Mr. Shaw: Existing as gravel now, just in the rear and our intent is to leave it as gravel.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: All the employees park back here?

Mr. Shaw: There are six spaces physicall on the site today. Those are indicated with a solid line and we do not use them. These dotted are allocated as future, if needed, because the plant shows 106 parking spaces. No way can we use 106 spaces. Our intent was to show them on the drawing, then if we need them, put them in. He doesn't use the 68 he has now. So again, for all purposes, this is the edge of the existing gravel right through here. Leave it the way it is.

Mr. Scheible: Can you draw lines in the gravel for parking spaces?

Mr. Shaw: We are not going to park there.

Mr. McCarville: This is an issue with the Fire Board. I think until we sit down and have a meeting with them face to face, we ought to proceed and approve these plans as we deem that they meet the codes.

Mr. Scheible: The further we go, the deeper the animosity gets between the two boards and I don't want to create a situation like that. It is only going to cause havoc later on down the road. It is causing problems with Mike and I just don't want to see these problems arising. I want to submit this to the Fire Bureau.

Mr. McCarville: I agree, submit it. If they reject the plan, that doesn't mean we have to reject the plan.

Mr. Van Leeuwen: My feeling is we are the planners, not them.



MARK J. EDSALL, P.E. Associate

RICHARD D. McGOEY, P.E. WILLIAM J. HAUSER, P.E.

Licensed in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania

45 QUASSAICK AVE. (ROUTE 9W) NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK 12550

TELEPHONE (914) 562-8640 PORT JERVIS (914) 856-5600

> TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME:
PROJECT LOCATION:
PROJECT NUMBER:
DATE:

AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE SITE PLAN TEMPLE HILL (FREEDOM) ROAD

88-04

18 MAY 1988

- 1. The Applicant has submitted a site plan for review for the construction of an addition to the existing Automotive Brake facility. Included on the site plan are some additional site improvements associated with the addition.
- 2. It is my understanding, from the review of the plan, that the only proposed work includes the new addition, some grading, a retaining wall at the rear of the new addition and three (3) new wall-mounted light fixtures. The Board may wish to verify with the Applicant that this is the limit of what is proposed.
- 3. A review of the plan indicates that the limits of the existing paving do not necessarily coincide with the indicated existing parking. This could be corrected as part of this site improvement. (also see next comment in regard to parking).
- 4. My review of the "Parking Schedule" is such that I feel the requirement indicated for the warehouse areas is greater than that required by the code. The Applicant should review this calculation and revise the required parking, if appropriate. In addition, the plan makes reference to "future parking as required". The Board should discuss whether the compliance with the code for minimum parking will be required as part of this site plan application, or can be "future".
- 5. The Board should review the Bulk Tables and determine the use which this development is being considered as. Following determination of the class of use, the "required" portion of the "zoning schedule" can be reviewed.

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD REVIEW COMMENTS

PROJECT NAME:
PROJECT LOCATION:
PROJECT NUMBER:
DATE:

AUTOMOTIVE BRAKE SITE PLAN TEMPLE HILL (FREEDOM ROAD)

88-04

18 MAY 1988

-2-

- 6. The number of handicapped parking spaces as provided on the plan does not comply with the minimum requirement outlined in the New York State Uniform Building Code. If the total parking spaces are as indicated on the plan, five (5) of the spaces must be handicapped spaces.
- 7. At such time that the items above have been reviewed by the Planning Board and a determination made regarding the class of use, further engineering review will be made and comments provided, as necessary.

Respectfully submitted,

ark J. Edsall, P.E. Planning Board Engineer

MJE.emj

autosite.emj



Louis Heimbach County Executive

Department of Planning & Development

124 Main Street Goshen, New York 10924 (914) 294-5151

Peter Garrison, Commissioner
Richard S. DeTurk, Deputy Commissioner

ORANGE COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT 239 L, M or N Report

This proposed action is being reviewed as an aid in coordinating such action between and among governmental agencies by bringing pertinent inter-community and Countywide considerations to the attention of the municipal agency having jurisdiction.

| Referred by T. NEW WINDS OR PLANNING BOARD P & D Reference No. MUT33-881 | 1 |
|--|---|
| County I.D. No. 4 1 2 115. | 2 |
| Applicant AUTOMOTIVE BEAKE | |
| Proposed Action: SMEPLAN: BLDG. ADDITION | |
| State, County, Inter-Municipal Basis for 239 Review FIGNTASE/ACCESS NUSS 300 |) |
| | |
| Comments: | — |
| to Annau tonor Deere to line | |
| IF ADDITIONAL TRAPPIO RESULTS FROM BLOG. | |
| | |
| ADDITION NYSDOT SHOULD BE INFORMED | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Related Reviews and Permits | |
| ACTUALCH REVIEWS AND TETRICS | |
| | |
| County Action: Local Determination Disapproved Approved | |
| Approved subject to the following modifications and/or conditions: | |
| i | - |
| | |
| | |
| \sim | |
| MAG 31/988 - HART husen | |
| Date | |

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION SITE PLAN REVIEW FORM

| PLANNING BOARD REFERANCE NUMBER: 88-04 | FIRE BUREAU 88-34 REFERANCE NUMBER: |
|---|--|
| | |
| SITE PLAN FOR: Automotive Brake | |
| ADDRESS: Temple Hill Road , Ne | w Windsor |
| | · |
| The aforementioned site plan or mercention at a meeting held on | ap was reviewed by the BUREAU OF FIRE 27 May 1988. |
| The site plan or map was app | proved by the BUREAU OF FIRE PREVENTION. |
| x The site plan or map was dis | sapproved by the BUREAU OF FIRE PRE- |
| 1. The construction of t | he proposed new addition must |
| be a Type 1 fire resistive con | structions and a sprinkler system |
| would have to be installed for | the entire existing and new |
| • | e in building size, as per the |
| | nd building code. RE needs to |
| Show sprinklen TAP | |
| • | |
| • | ed by the Office of Fire Inspector |
| in the absence of a Fire Prevent | ion bureau meeting. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | bai) - 6 |

| WATER WATER HIGHWAY REVIEW FORMS | RE INSPECTOR, D.O.T. O.C.H. O.C.P. |
|---|------------------------------------|
| The maps and plans for the Site Subdivision | submitted by |
| automatine Brake | |
| reviewed by me and is approved | |
| If disapproved, please list re | ason. |
| Tied im To Sewer | |
| | |
| | |
| | HIGHNAY SUPERINTENDENT |
| | WATER SUPERINTENDENT |
| | Mari See 100 8 |
| | - CATE TIES |

| bdivision | | 08 9 | ubmitted by | | |
|------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|------|
| , , , | Grake. | | or subdivi | • | , |
| | and is appro | | | | |
| Leapproved | | · | ٠ | | |
| • | proved, pleas | e list reas | • | · | |
| | 1 1 1 | - | ` ` | | |
| union | Ave- Se | e wate | lept. | to locat | `4 ^ |
| union | Aue - Se | e mata | lept. | to locat | |
| union | Ave-Se | e mata | lept. | to locat | |
| union | Ave- Se | e vata | lept. | to locat | |
| union | Ave- Se | e mata | lept. | to locat | |
| union | Ave- Se | e vata | lept. | to locat | |
| union | Ave- Se | | | ERINTENDENT | |

5-25-88 DATE

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR

555 UNION AVENUE NEW WINDSOR, NEW YORK

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

| AND ROUTING CHECKE | |
|--|--|
| PROJECT NAME: Automotive BRAKE Site & PROJECT NUMBER: 88-4 | PAN |
| Completed Application Form Notarized Endorsement on Application Application Fee Proxy Statement Environmental Assessment Form Completed Checklist Fourteen (14) Sets of Submittal Plans | |
| ROUTING PROCEDUR | RE |
| Copies of the submitted plan should be submitt | sent to the following |
| Sewer Department Planning Board Engineer Orange County Planning* Bureau of Fire Prevention | Building Inspector Water Department Highway Department NYSDOT* |
| In addition copies of the following show Plann ing Board Engineer: | ald be sent to the |
| ApplicationSubmittal Checklist | EAF Dept. Review |
| * O/C Planning and DOT as required. | |

(This is a two-sided form)

Planning Board
Town of New Windsor
555 451 11 12550
New Windson 11 12550

| Date Received_ | |
|----------------|--|
| Meeting Date | |
| Public Hearing | |
| Action Date | |
| Fees Paid | |
| | |

APPLICATION FOR SITE PLAN OR Lot line Change or SUBDIVISION PLAN APPROVAL

| - | |
|----|--|
| 1. | Name of Project Automotive Brake |
| 2. | Name of Applicant Phone 561-6280 |
| | Address 300 Temple Hill Road, New Windsor N.Y. 12550 |
| | (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 3. | Owner of Record Same as above Phone |
| | Address |
| • | (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 4. | Person Preparing Plan Shaw Engineering Phone 561-3695 |
| | Address 744 Broadway Newburgh N.Y. 12550 |
| • | (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 5. | Attorney Phone |
| | Address |
| | (Street No. & Name) (Post Office) (State) (Zip) |
| 6. | Location: On the Northeast side of Temple Hill Road |
| | 800 feet Southeast (Direction) |
| | (DILCCION) |
| | of Union Avenue (Street) |
| 7. | Acreage of Parcel 5.96 8. Zoning District PI |
| 9. | Tax Map Designation: Section 4 Block 2 Lot 15.2 |
| 0. | This application is for 4500 S.F. addition to existing |
| | warehouse |
| 1. | Has the Zoning Board of Appeals granted any variance or a special permit concerning this property?No |

| | If. | so, | -1i | st | Cas | e N | lo. | and | Nam | e | | | | | - | | | <u></u> |
|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------|---------|
| 12. | Lis Sec | t a | 11 on | cor | tig | uou | s t | nold | ings _Blo | in ck | the 2 | same | owr | ersi | | | 2 | |
| the libe reco sha] | res er a orde ll i | spec and ed indi of t | pag in t | re b re c he e t | olo of e Ora che | ling ach inge leg | s co Co al | of la onver ounty owne | and yanc y Cl er o | were e in erk' E th | wner aco to t s Of le pr | uire he p fice oper | d, dores | oge nt his the | her wne aff con | wit r as idav trac | h t i it | |
| dira more atta | ecto e th ache ER'S | ors, nan ed. | fiv | fic e p | ers erc | an ent | d : | stoci 5%) (| khol of a | ders | SHIP: of: lass | each of | COI | pora | itio | n ov | nin | g |
| COU! | YTV | OF | OR <i>i</i> | NGE | 3 | ′ · . | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>thal</u> | t he | - re | sio | les | at | | · . | | - | _ | lu ly | | : . | ٠, | | | | |
| in and | the tha the | Cou at l Cou | inty ne i | of s (| the | ow whi | ner ch | is | the | Owne | er in on a | Offi fee ind t | cia of hat | the he | cle) pre nas | mise auth | es nori | i l |
| gge | lica | atio | on f | or | Spe | cia | 1 (| Jse i | Appr | oval | to | mak desc | e tr | ed he | oreg erei | n. | ; | |
| INFO | ORM | TIC | , NC | AND |) AI | L S | TAT | CEME | NTS | AND | THE INFO | RMAT | NOI | CON | ra i n | ED 3 | EŅ T | не |
| : - | en l | | | | | s | 11 Å | rst | : : | 988 | , . | Owne | | - | natu | ret | <u>o</u> | |
| _ <u></u> | Ly | ИN | ,)/ | ŋ. · | Va | nc | L. | 7- | 1 | 98 <u>0</u> | (| e As Appl | icar | ove it's | Sig | nati | ire) | |
| | · [| ì | iota | ry | Put | lic | , | | | - | (| Titl | .e) | | | | , | |

Notary Public, State of Hour York
No. 4011142
Associated in Groups County
Associated Expires Horenbur 16, 16

PROXY STATEMENT

for submittal to the

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD

| Robert Lorenzen depo | oses and says that hedoes |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| business at 300 Temple Hill Road, New Wi | indsor |
| (Owner's Address) | |
| in the County of Orange | |
| and State of New York | |
| and that he is the owner in fee of $\underline{\underline{Tax}}$ | x map designation Section 4, |
| Block 2. Lot 15.2 in the Town of New W | indsor. |
| which is the premises described in the | foregoing application and |
| that he has authorized <u>Gregory J. Sha</u> | w <u>, P.E.</u> |
| to make the foregoing application as de | escribed therein. |
| Date: Feb. 12, 1988 | Robert Source X (Owner's Signature) |
| • | (Witness' Signature) |

TOWN OF NEW WINDSOR PLANNING BOARD SITE PLAN CHECKLIST

ITEM

1. X Site Plan Title 29.N/ACurbing Locations 2. X Applicant's Name(s) 30.N/ACurbing Through 3._X_Applicant's Address(es) Section 4. X Site Plan Preparer's Name 5. X Site Plan Preparer's Address 31.N/ACatch Basin Locations 32.N/ACatch Basin Through 6. X Drawing Date Section 7. Revision Dates 33.<u>x</u>Storm Drainage 34._X_Refuse Storage 8. X AREA MAP INSET 35.N/AOther Outdoor Storage 9. X Site Designation 36.N/AWater Supply 10._X_Properties Within 500 Feet 37.N/ASanitary Disposal Sys. of Site 11. X Property Owners (Trem #10) 38.N/Afire Hydrants 12._X_PLOT PLAN 39. X Building Locations $13.\underline{x}$ Scale (1" = 50' or lesser) 40._X_Building Setbacks 14._X_Metes and Bounds 41.N/AFront Building 15. X Zoning Designation Elevations 16._X_North Arrow 42.N/ADivisions of Occupancy 17. X Abutting Property Owners 43.N/ASign Details 18. X Existing Building Locations 44. X BULK TABLE INSET 19. X Existing Paved Areas 45. \overline{X} Property Area (Nearest 20. X Existing Vegetation 100 sq. ft.) 46. X Building Coverage (sq. 21. x Existing Access & Egress ft.) 47. X Building Coverage (% PROPOSED IMPROVEMENTS of Total Area) 22.N/ALandscaping 23._x_Exterior Lighting 48._X_Pavement Coverage (Sq. 24.N/AScreening Ft.) 49._X_Pavement Coverage (% 25.N/AAccess & Egress $26._X$ Parking Areas of Total Area) $27._X$ _Loading Areas 50._x Open Space (Sq. Ft.) 51. X Open Space (% of Total 28.N/APaving Details (Items 25-27) Area) 52. X No. of Parking Spaces Proposed. 53. χ _No. of Parking Required.

This list is provided as a guide only and is for the convenience of the Applicant. The Town of New Windsor Planning Board may require additional notes or revisions prior to granting approval.

PREPARER'S ACKNOWLEDGEMENT:

The Site Plan has been prepared in accordance with this checklist and the Town of New Windsor Ordinances, to the best of my knowledge.

Licensed Professional

Date: Fcb 16, 1988

| 14-16-4 (2/87)—Text 12 | |
|------------------------|----|
| PROJECT I.D. NUMBER | |
| | ٠, |



SEQR

Appendix C

State Environmental Quality Review

SHORT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT FORM For UNLISTED ACTIONS Only

| PART 1—PROJECT INFORMATION (TO be completed by Applicant of Project Sponsor) | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1. APPLICANT /SPONSOR 2. PROJECT NAME | | | | | | |
| Automotive Brake Co. of Newburgh Inc. Automotive Brake | | | | | | |
| 3. PROJECT LOCATION: | | | | | | |
| Municipality New Windsor County Orange | | | | | | |
| 4. PRECISE LOCATION (Street address and road intersections, prominent landmarks, etc., or provide map) | | | | | | |
| 300 Temple Hill Road. 800 Feet Southeast of Union Avenue. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5. IS PROPOSED ACTION: | | | | | | |
| New Expansion Modification/alteration 6. DESCRIBE PROJECT BRIEFLY: | | | | | | |
| 4500 S.F. warehouse addition. | | | | | | |
| 4500 S.F. Warehouse addition. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 7. AMOUNT OF LAND AFFECTED: 5.96 | | | | | | |
| Initially acres Ultimately acres 8. WILL PROPOSED ACTION COMPLY WITH EXISTING ZONING OR OTHER EXISTING LAND USE RESTRICTIONS? | | | | | | |
| X Yes No If No, describe briefly | | | | | | |
| La 165 La 110 II 110, describe briefly | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9. WHAT IS PRESENT LAND USE IN VICINITY OF PROJECT? | | | | | | |
| ☐ Residential ☑ Industrial ☐ Commercial ☐ Agriculture ☐ Park/Forest/Open space ☐ Other Describe: | | | | | | |
| Planned Industrial Zone PI | | | | | | |
| Flanned Industrial Zone 11 | | | | | | |
| 10. DOES ACTION INVOLVE A PERMIT APPROVAL, OR FUNDING, NOW OR ULTIMATELY FROM ANY OTHER GOVERNMENTAL AGENCY (FEDERAL, | | | | | | |
| STATE OR LOCAL)? | | | | | | |
| Yes No If yes, list agency(s) and permit/approvals | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 11. DOES ANY ASPECT OF THE ACTION HAVE A CURRENTLY VALID PERMIT OR APPROVAL? | | | | | | |
| Yes X No If yes, list agency name and permit/approval | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 12. AS A RESULT OF PROPOSED ACTION WILL EXISTING PERMIT/APPROVAL REQUIRE MODIFICATION? | | | | | | |
| Yes N.A. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| I CERTIFY THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE | | | | | | |
| Applicant/sponsor name: Automotive Brake | | | | | | |
| Applicant/sponsor name: Date: FED. 12,1988 | | | | | | |
| Signature: Xugang X | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

If the action is in the Coastal Area, and you are a state agency, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment

| Yes | | THRESHO IN 6 NYCRR, PA | RT 617.12? If yes, coordinate | the sw process | s and use the FULL EAF. |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | No | | | | |
| may be supers | seded by another involve | | RUNLISTED ACTIONS IN 6 NYCH | iR, PART 617.6? | If No, a negative declaration |
| X Yes | □No | | | | |
| C1. Existing | air quality, surface or | groundwater quality or quan | WITH THE FOLLOWING: (Answi | | |
| | | r flooding problems? Explain | | | • |
| No - | Consistant | with present of | ıse. | | |
| C2. Aesthetic | c, agricultural, archaeolo | ogical, historic, or other natur | al or cultural resources; or comm | unity or neighbori | nood character? Explain briefly: |
| No - | Brewster Ho | ouse Restauran 350 feet from | listed on the lasite. Project w | N.Y.S. hi will have | storic register |
| C3. Vegetation | | | ant habitats, or threatened or en | | - |
| No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| C4. A commu | unity's existing plans or ç | goals as officially adopted, or | a change in use or intensity of use | of land or other na | atural resources? Explain briefly |
| No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| • | subsequent development | it, or related activities likely t | be induced by the proposed act | tion? Explain brief | iy. |
| No | | | | | |
| C6. Long ter | m. short term, cumulativ | ve, or other effects not identif | ed in C1-C5? Explain briefly. | | |
| No. | | 10, 0. 0 | | | |
| No | ٠ | | | | |
| C7. Other im | pacts (including changes | s in use of either quantity or | type of energy)? Explain briefly. | | |
| No | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | IS THERE LIKELY TO B | BE, CONTROVERSY RELATED | TO POTENTIAL ADVERSE ENVIR | RONMENTAL IMPA | ACTS? |
| | E-7 | ain briefly | | | |
| . IS THERE, OR | 22100 H 100, 0APA | | | | |
| | 11 100, 0April | | | | |
| | 11 100, 00, | | | | |
| Yes | | IGNIFICANCE (To be c | ampleted by Agency) | | |
| □ Yes | ERMINATION OF SI | IGNIFICANCE (To be compared to the compared to | ompleted by Agency) etermine whether it is substar | itial, large, impor | tant or otherwise significant. |
| RT IIIDETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i | se effect identified above, d in connection with its (a) | etermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); | (b) probability o | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) |
| RT III DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect sirreversibility | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i ; (e) geographic scope | se effect identified above, d in connection with its (a) se; and (f) magnitude. If ne | etermine whether it is substar | (b) probability o | of occurring; (c) duration; (d prting materials. Ensure that |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect sirreversibility explanations Check | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de | se effect identified above, d in connection with its (a) he; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all releve ve identified one or mo | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have be | (b) probability or reference supportent and identified and infinition and infinit | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that d adequately addressed. |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect : irreversibility explanations Check occur. | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de this box if you hav Then proceed direct | se effect identified above, do in connection with its (a) se; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all releve ve identified one or moctly to the FULL EAF a | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have be- re potentially large or sign and/or prepare a positive d | (b) probability or reference supposen identified and nifficant adversectaration. | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that d adequately addressed. The impacts which MAY |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect sirreversibility explanations Check occur. Check docum | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed in the second contain sufficient de this box if you have that the proceed direction, that the proceed contains the proceed contains box if you have the proceed contains box if you have the proceed contains the process that the process the process that the process the process that th | se effect identified above, de in connection with its (a) se; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all releve ve identified one or mostly to the FULL EAF a ave determined, based proposed action WILL | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have be | (b) probability or reference supposen identified and nificant adverse eclaration. analysis above cant adverse e | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that d adequately addressed. The impacts which MAY and any supporting |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect sirreversibility explanations Check occur. Check docum | RMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de this box if you hav Then proceed direct this box if you ha entation, that the provide on attachme | se effect identified above, do in connection with its (a) he; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all relevely every identified one or mostly to the FULL EAF a ave determined, based proposed action WILL ents as necessary, the | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have be- re potentially large or sign nd/or prepare a positive d on the information and NOT result in any significations supporting this de- | (b) probability or reference supported identified and inficant adverse eclaration. analysis above cant adverse etermination: | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that d adequately addressed. The impacts which MAY and any supporting |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect sirreversibility explanations Check occur. Check docum | RMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de this box if you hav Then proceed direct this box if you ha entation, that the provide on attachme | se effect identified above, do in connection with its (a) he; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all relevely every identified one or mostly to the FULL EAF a ave determined, based proposed action WILL ents as necessary, the | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have be- re potentially large or sign nd/or prepare a positive d on the information and NOT result in any significa- | (b) probability or reference supported identified and inficant adverse eclaration. analysis above cant adverse etermination: | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that d adequately addressed. The impacts which MAY and any supporting |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect s irreversibility explanations Check occur. Check docum AND p | RMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de this box if you hav Then proceed direct this box if you ha entation, that the provide on attachme | se effect identified above, do in connection with its (a) se; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all releve we identified one or mostly to the FULL EAF as ave determined, based proposed action WILL ents as necessary, the lown of New Winner | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have been repotentially large or signod/or prepare a positive don the information and NOT result in any significations supporting this details of lead Agency | (b) probability or reference supported identified and inficant adverse eclaration. analysis above cant adverse etermination: | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that diadequately addressed. The impacts which MAY are and any supporting environmental impacts |
| RT III—DETE INSTRUCTIO Each effect irreversibility explanations Check occur. Check docum AND pr | ERMINATION OF SI NS: For each adverse should be assessed i r; (e) geographic scope contain sufficient de this box if you have Then proceed direct this box if you have entation, that the provide on attachme | se effect identified above, do in connection with its (a) se; and (f) magnitude. If ne etail to show that all releve we identified one or mostly to the FULL EAF as ave determined, based proposed action WILL ents as necessary, the lown of New Winner Cofficer in Lead Agency | setermine whether it is substar setting (i.e. urban or rural); cessary, add attachments or ant adverse impacts have been repotentially large or sign and/or prepare a positive of on the information and NOT result in any significations supporting this decided Agency | (b) probability or reference supported in identified and inficant adverse eclaration. analysis above cant adverse etermination: analysis above cant adverse etermination: | of occurring; (c) duration; (d) orting materials. Ensure that diadequately addressed. The impacts which MAY are and any supporting environmental impacts |

.

